

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/606,721	06/29/2000		Gordon C. Cheng	CC8128-DRIB	7493
7	590	02/12/2002			
Kathleen Cha	_	sq	EXAMINER		
Dishong Law Office 765 Greenville Rd				KIDWELL, MICHELLE M	
Mason, NH 03048				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3761	
				DATE MAILED: 02/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/606,721	CHENG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Michele M. Kidwell	3761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	_	MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI		a reply be timely filed					
 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become a	ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 June 2000 .						
	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all		atters prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-96 is/are pending in the applica	ition.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-96 are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has	been received.					
Attachment(s)	eriority under oo o.o.c	. 33 120 GHO/OF 121.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 43, 45 68 and 79 94, drawn to a system for collecting, conveying and storing urine discharged from a male, classified in class 604, subclass 349.
- II. Claims 44, 69 78 and 95 96, drawn to a method of pretreating, manufacturing and using urine collection tubes, classified in class 425, subclass 72.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made.

The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as without pretreating a urine collection tube with rayon felt and liquid cleaner.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3761

In the event that the applicant elects the claims of group 1, the following election of species requirement applies:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1 – Figure 1

Species 2 – Figures 2A and 2B

Species 3 – Figure 3A

Species 4 – Figure 3B

Species 5 - Figures 4A - 4C, 5A - 5B and 6

Species 6 – Figures 7A – 7B

Species 7 – Figures 8A – 8F

Species 8 - Figure 9

Species 9 – Figures 10A – 10D

Species 10 – Figures 11A – 11C

Species 11 – Figure 12

Species 12 – Figure 13

Species 13 – Figure 14

Species 14 – Figure 15

Species 15 – Figure 16

Species 16 – Figure 17

Art Unit: 3761

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims—are-added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3761

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele M. Kidwell whose telephone number is 703-305-2941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 7:00am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John G. Weiss can be reached on 703-308-2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Michele Kidwell February 6, 2002 John G. Weiss
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700